

# A Simple Picture of Gravity via Fields and Gradients

**Author:** Sergey Skrynnik

**Date:** 2026-04-09

**Version:** 3.0

**Publication Platform:** Zenodo

**Language:** Russian (with parallel translations into English and Kazakh)

## Abstract

The article considers gravity as a manifestation of the reaction of the **entire energy-saturated medium (space)** to local perturbations of energy density — **standing waves**, which we call mass.

It explains why the acceleration of free fall is the same for light and heavy bodies (the test body reacts to the external gradient, not to its own), how the energy density gradient creates force, and why the force decreases with distance according to the law  $1/r^2$  (due to spherical propagation of the perturbation).

The role of the **macro-mass of space** (the total distribution of energy density, including dark matter and background fluctuations) and the nature of smooth boundaries of objects as zones of wavelike change in energy density, rather than solid surfaces, are explained.

The article is a popular introduction to the **wave model of matter** (see the work "Model of the wave structure of matter and the fractal structure of the Universe" for more details). All conclusions are obtained within this model without involving complex mathematical apparatus.

## Keywords:

gravity, field, energy density gradient, standing wave, acceleration, mass, object boundary, dark matter, dark energy, smooth wavelike change,  $1/r^2$ , spatial symmetry, wave model of matter

## Introduction

Gravity is traditionally considered as a force between two bodies. We measure the acceleration of free fall and see that it does not depend on the mass of the falling body. It seems that the interaction occurs only between two objects. But this view is simplified: in reality, **all the space** around us participates in gravity, and what we observe is only a manifestation of **relative interaction**.

This article is based on the **wave model of matter**, detailed in the work ["Model of the wave structure of matter and the fractal structure of the Universe"](#). According to this model, space is not a void but an active energy-saturated medium. Mass is a local deviation of energy density from the average background value. Gravity arises as a reaction of this entire medium to local perturbations.

Here we try to simplify the essence of the process, without complex mathematics, to explain:

- why the acceleration of free fall is the same for light and heavy bodies,
- how field gradients create force,

- why the force decreases with distance as  $1/r^2$ ,
- and how the concept of a "standing wave" links the mass of an object with the shape of its gravitational field.

*For clarity: "energy density" here means the amount of energy per unit volume. In the wave model, mass is a local deviation of energy density from the average background value. The greater the deviation, the greater the mass. Gravity arises from spatial variations (gradients) of this density.*

## 1. Object as a local field perturbation

In the proposed wave model, any substance, any particle is not a point ball or a "clump of mass" in a void, but a **local perturbation of the energy medium**, which has the form of a stable **standing wave**.

In more detail: mass arises where the energy density in space deviates from the average background value, and this deviation is maintained as a standing wave.

- An elementary particle has no sharp boundary — its field smoothly decreases from the centre, but the distinguished region (half-waves) creates a feeling of "localisation".
- A macro-object (stone, planet) is a superposition of many standing waves from all particles. Their total perturbation of the medium can also be considered as a **single averaged standing wave** with a characteristic size depending on the mass.
- Gravity arises precisely because this standing wave creates around itself an **energy density gradient** — a smooth change from the region with increased energy (the body) to the background.

Important: the boundary of an object is not a solid surface, but a zone where the standing wave decays most rapidly. Any boundary is a consequence of the wave shape, not a mechanical shell.

Local field perturbations (standing waves) generate gradients, and we perceive gradients as force.

## 2. Field and gradient — the source of force

In the wave model, **force arises where there is an energy density gradient** — that is, a smooth change of this density in space. Gravity is no exception.

- An object (standing wave) creates around itself a region with increased energy density. Near the object, the gradient (rate of change of density) is large — therefore the force is strong.
- As we move away from the object, the standing wave decays, its "humps" become flatter, the gradient decreases — and the force weakens.

Space (the energy-saturated medium) "reacts" to the local perturbation by equalising gradients. This reaction of the medium is what we used to call gravity. In other words:

**gravity is not "attraction of two bodies", but the forced movement of matter towards a higher gradient of energy density created by other masses.**

**Important note on the shape of the gradient:**

In this model, the gradient does not have a stepwise form but a **smooth wavelike form** (like a segment of a sine wave). This is a direct consequence of the fact that mass is represented by a standing wave, not by a point source with a sharp field cut-off. Therefore, the change of force with distance occurs monotonically and smoothly.

### 3. Why force depends on distance

In the wave model, the energy density gradient created by an object propagates in space. For spherically symmetric objects (stars, planets, and to a good approximation any compact masses), the force decreases with distance according to the law  $1/r^2$ .

#### Why exactly $1/r^2$ ?

Imagine that the perturbation from a point source propagates spherically in all directions. The energy density in such a spherical wave is distributed over the surface of a sphere, whose area grows as  $4\pi r^2$ . If the total amount of energy carried by the wave per unit time is conserved, then the energy flux density (and consequently the gradient and the force) at distance  $r$  is inversely proportional to the area of the sphere — that is,  $\sim 1/r^2$ .

In our model, the point source is replaced by a **standing wave** (object), but at distances significantly larger than the size of the object, this standing wave "looks like" a point perturbation. Therefore, the  $1/r^2$  law remains valid.

#### An alternative view (within wave geometry):

One can also imagine that force is a projection of a circular (spherical) wave process onto the radial direction. With such a projection, the dependence on distance naturally acquires a quadratic character. Both approaches — through the area of the sphere and through projection — lead to the same law.

The formula reflecting the relationship between force  $F$  and the potential gradient  $\Phi$ :

$$\vec{F} \sim -\nabla\Phi,$$

where for the spherical case  $\Phi \sim -1/r$ , and the gradient gives  $1/r^2$ .

Important: force manifests itself through the **field gradient** (smooth change in energy density), not through instantaneous action at a distance.

### 4. The role of all space

In standard physics, the equality of acceleration for different masses is explained by the equivalence principle (inertial mass equals gravitational mass). In our wave model, the reason is the same but formulated differently through the properties of the medium.

**Key idea:** gravity is not a force between two isolated bodies. It is a **reaction of the entire energy-saturated medium (space) to local perturbations**.

- Each object creates a standing wave — a deviation of energy density from the average background value.

- The space around an object is not empty. It itself has a distributed energy density (contribution of "dark matter", background fluctuations, and distant masses of the Universe).
- When a small body (e.g., a stone) enters the Earth's field, it moves not because the Earth "pulls" it, but because the **total gradient of energy density** (Earth + background of all space) forces any local perturbation to shift towards a steeper slope.

### Why is the acceleration the same for a light and a heavy stone?

Imagine that space is a dense medium with some average energy density. Any additional perturbation (particle, atom, stone) "feels" only the gradient created by external masses (the Earth), not its own. The reaction of the medium to the gradient does not depend on the mass of the test body, because the mass of the test body determines only the amplitude of its own standing wave, while the gradient of the external field is the same for all.

The force acting on a body is equal to its mass times acceleration. On the other hand, the same force is equal to its mass times the acceleration of free fall  $g$ . In our model, the mass that resists acceleration (inertial) and the mass that "feels" gravity (gravitational) are one and the same property of the standing wave. Therefore, the acceleration  $a$  of a body is always equal to  $g$  and does not depend on whether the body is light or heavy.

### What is the "macro-mass of space"?

By this term we mean the **total distribution of energy density in all space**, including:

- energy of quantum fluctuations of the vacuum,
- dark matter (additional gravitating perturbations not included in ordinary matter),
- the contribution of all distant galaxies and structures.

It is this global background that creates the "reference frame" relative to which acceleration manifests itself. Without the background, gravity of two isolated bodies in an empty Universe would be different — but in the real Universe the background is always present.

### Important consequence:

For small test bodies, their own contribution to the total gradient is negligible, so the acceleration is the same. For very massive objects (e.g., a black hole), their own perturbation already affects the total gradient — here linearity breaks down.

## 5. Gravity as a consequence of field reaction

Let us summarise. Within the proposed wave model, gravity arises as a natural reaction of the energy-saturated medium to local standing waves (objects with mass). The whole process can be described in several steps:

1. **Any object with mass** (from an electron to a star) is a **local perturbation of energy density** in the form of a stable **standing wave**. The amplitude and size of this standing wave determine the magnitude of the mass.
2. **The standing wave does not break off abruptly** — its amplitude smoothly decreases with distance. This decrease creates in space an **energy density gradient** — that is, a smooth, wavelike change from the increased value inside the object to the background value far away.

3. **The energy density gradient** is what we perceive as force. Any other local perturbation (another object) "feels" this gradient and tends to shift towards its increase (i.e., towards a region of higher energy density, closer to the source). We observe this shift as **acceleration of free fall**.
4. **The magnitude of acceleration** is determined by:
  - the mass of the source (amplitude of its standing wave),
  - the distance to the source (the farther, the smaller the gradient),
  - the **background distribution of energy density throughout space** (macro-mass of space, including dark matter and energy).

**This picture explains the key observations:**

- **Acceleration does not depend on the mass of the falling body** — because the test body reacts to the external gradient, not to its own. Its mass (amplitude of its standing wave) cancels out in the equation of motion.
- **The force decreases with distance as  $1/r^2$**  — due to spherical propagation of the perturbation (sphere area grows as  $r^2$ , energy flux density falls as  $1/r^2$ ).
- **Gravity is connected to the entire Universe** — the acceleration at a given point depends not only on the nearest mass (Earth), but also on the distribution of all the remaining energy in space, which sets the "background level" of density.

**Important:** in this model, gravity is not a "force of attraction" in a mechanistic sense. It is a **forced movement of an object towards a steeper gradient of energy density** created by other objects against the background of the global energy distribution.

## 6. Important caveats

To avoid misunderstanding, we list the key caveats arising from the wave model:

### 1. Boundaries of objects are not solid surfaces

Any boundary (the surface of a planet, the edge of an atom) is a zone where the energy density changes most rapidly. In the wave model, there are no sharp jumps: the field decays smoothly, according to a sinusoidal law. A "solid boundary" is only an approximation for macroscopic scales.

### 2. Force is a gradient, and the gradient has a wave form

Force arises where there is an energy density gradient. In this model, this gradient is not stepwise but **smooth and wavelike** (like a segment of a sine wave). This is a direct consequence of the fact that mass is represented by a standing wave. The term "wavelike change" here means exactly the shape, not the presence of a travelling wave. (Gravitational waves predicted by general relativity and experimentally detected are a separate phenomenon not considered in this article.)

### 3. The $1/r^2$ law follows from spherical symmetry

For a spherically symmetric perturbation, the area over which energy is distributed grows as  $4\pi r^2$ , therefore the flux density (and force) falls as  $1/r^2$ . This holds at distances large compared to the size of the source.

### 4. Dark matter and dark energy are not separate entities

Within the framework of the wave model, "dark matter" is interpreted as additional local stable disturbances of energy density that are not part of ordinary matter (e.g., standing waves of different scales), forming an effective contribution to the gravitational field. "Dark energy" can

be viewed as the global dynamics of the medium, associated with the tendency toward energy density equalization and manifesting as the observed accelerated expansion. Thus, both phenomena are described within a single medium with a distributed energy density, without introducing independent components of matter; *a more detailed analysis, including a possible fractal structure, is provided in the work "The Model of Wave Structure of Matter and the Fractal Structure of the Universe"*.

### **5. Macro-objects and dark matter halos are averaged distributions**

When we speak of a "dark matter halo" around a galaxy, in the wave model this means an averaged distribution of many small perturbations (standing waves), not a blurring of the boundaries of a single object. This is an important clarification: boundaries are smooth not because the object "spreads out", but because the field of each object itself has the form of a smoothly decaying standing wave.

### **6. This article is a popular introduction**

All the ideas presented here are consequences of a more general wave model, which relies on several postulates (primacy of energy, active medium, standing waves, resonant interaction mechanism). For a deep understanding, it is recommended to refer to the original work: Skrynnik S. *"Model of the wave structure of matter and the fractal structure of the Universe"*, Zenodo, 2026.

Available at: <https://zenodo.org/records/19315913>

## **7. Consistency with verified effects and experimental limits**

The wave model does not abolish the successfully verified predictions of general relativity and quantum physics, but offers a different physical interpretation for them within the framework of Euclidean space and energy density gradients. Below we show how key effects and limitations naturally fit into the proposed picture.

### **7.1. Equivalence principle and limits of measurability**

In standard physics, the equality of acceleration for all bodies is postulated as exact. In the wave model, the acceleration of a test body is determined by the external gradient, which is created not only by the nearest massive object but also by the "macro-mass" of the entire space. Because of this contribution, a microscopic deviation arises: for the Earth it is less than  $10^{-25}$ , for the Sun — less than  $10^{-23}$ . Modern experiments (including satellite tests) verify the equivalence principle with an accuracy of about  $\sim 10^{-13} - 10^{-15}$ . Thus, the difference predicted by the model lies far beyond the capabilities of current measurement technology, and in any practical calculations the equality  $a = g$  holds with an unattainable today precision.

### **7.2. Dark matter, lensing and rotation curves**

Astrophysical observations (flat rotation curves of galaxies, gravitational lensing in clusters, acoustic oscillations in the CMB) are sensitive to the spatial distribution of gravitating mass, but not to its microscopic carrier. In the wave model, "dark matter" is not a separate substance but represents extended regions of increased energy density accompanying visible structures. Their profile naturally creates the gravitational potential needed to explain the observed effects. Since gravity responds to integral density rather than to particles, the model's predictions agree with the



data within current uncertainties. Thus, gravitational lensing arises naturally as a consequence of the overall energy distribution, without introducing an independent dark matter component.

### 7.3. Behaviour in galaxy cluster collisions

Observations, including the Bullet Cluster, show that the distribution of gravitational mass may not coincide with the distribution of hot gas. Within the model, this is explained by the fact that stable configurations of energy density are primarily associated with compact and dynamically stable structures (galaxies), rather than with the diffuse gas component. As a result, during cluster collisions such configurations retain their integrity and continue moving together with the galaxies, while the gas experiences drag and is redistributed.

### 7.4. Excess gravitational effect

Observed gravitational effects in some cases exceed estimates based only on visible mass. In the proposed model, this is because the contribution to the gravitational field is determined not only by baryonic matter but also by an additional structure of energy density of the medium that arises near massive objects. Such a structure can provide a significant additional contribution, interpreted as "dark matter". From the model's perspective, galaxies can sometimes be interpreted as formations analogous to elementary particles but on a larger scale — a manifestation of the fractality of the world. In such a case, dark matter may be a "confined field" inside such a "particle". Moreover, the observable Universe is limited, which makes it impossible to accurately calculate the total baryonic mass.

### 7.5. Dark energy and global dynamics

"Dark energy" within the model can be considered as a manifestation of the global dynamics of the medium, associated with the tendency to equalise energy density. On large scales, this leads to an effective decrease in gradients and can manifest as the observed accelerated expansion of the Universe, without the need to introduce a separate entity with special properties.

### 7.6. Qualitative picture of relativistic effects

All verified relativistic phenomena find an intuitive explanation in the model through the properties of the wave medium:

- **Gravitational redshift** — exiting a region of increased energy density requires work. With  $c = \text{const}$ , this is compensated by a change in the photon frequency. When entering the field, the process is reversed.
- **Light deflection** — a photon, having no rest mass, possesses momentum. In a medium with a density gradient, its trajectory shifts toward the steeper gradient, similar to refraction in an optically inhomogeneous medium. The total deflection angle coincides with the classical prediction when taking into account the nonlinearity of the profile near massive bodies.
- **Perihelion precession of Mercury** — at small distances, the density gradient deviates from the  $1/r^2$  law due to the finite size of the standing wave and the influence of the internal structure. This nonlinearity introduces a correction  $\sim 1/r^3$ , mathematically equivalent to the relativistic orbital shift.
- **Gravitational time dilation (GPS)** — all periodic processes, including atomic transitions, are determined by the resonant frequencies of wave structures. In a region of increased density, the resonance conditions shift, leading to a systematic reduction in the

"ticking" frequency of clocks. The effect quantitatively reproduces the corrections necessary for satellite navigation.

Thus, the wave model does not contradict precision tests, but reinterprets their mechanisms in terms of energy density gradients and resonant properties of standing waves.

## 7.7. Limitations of the model

In its present form, the model is qualitative in nature and aims to interpret observed phenomena within a unified medium with distributed energy density. To obtain quantitative predictions comparable with observations, further mathematical formalisation is required, including an explicit specification of the dynamics of energy density and its interaction with matter. A more detailed treatment, including the possible fractal structure and hierarchy of stable configurations, is given in the work "Model of the wave structure of matter and the fractal structure of the Universe".

## Conclusion

Let us summarise. Within the proposed wave model, **gravity is not an interaction of two isolated bodies**, but a manifestation of the reaction of the **entire energy-saturated medium (space) to local standing waves** — perturbations of energy density that we call mass.

### Key conclusions:

- The observed acceleration of free fall is a **local manifestation of a global effect**: the body moves towards a steeper gradient of energy density created by other masses against the background of the energy distribution throughout the Universe.
- The force decreases with distance as  $1/r^2$  due to spherical symmetry and the law of conservation of energy (the area of a sphere grows as  $r^2$ ).
- All boundaries of objects are not solid surfaces, but zones of smooth, **wavelike change in energy density** (sinusoidal shape, not a step). This is a direct consequence of the fact that mass is represented by a standing wave.
- Dark matter and dark energy in this model do not require separate entities: they are interpreted as different manifestations of the distribution of energy density of the medium (local perturbations and a global background process).

**In other words, gravity is the reaction of space to the distribution of energy**, where local perturbations (standing waves) create force through smooth wavelike field gradients.

A more visual, graphical presentation of these ideas (with pictures and examples) can be found in the work *"Reflections Faith, Disbelief. SPIRIT and matter"*, part 2, chapter "The Birth of the Force of Gravity, Dark Matter and Dark Energy". Available at: <https://zenodo.org/records/19260065>

*This article is a popular introduction to the wave model of matter. A full exposition of the postulates, mechanisms and consequences is presented in the work "Model of the wave structure of matter and the fractal structure of the Universe" (<https://zenodo.org/records/19315913>).*